

# EFFECT OF AGRICULTURAL SULPHUR AND *THIOBACILLUS* BACTERIA ON MICRONUTRIENTS AVAILABILITY IN SALINE SOIL

### Douaa M. Almotory, Abd Al-Zahra T. Thaher\* and Meiad M. Al-Jaberi

Department of Soil science and water resources, college of Agriculture, University of Basrah, Iraq.

## Abstract

The experiment was carried out in the laboratory to investigate the effect of agricultural sulfur and inoculation with sulfur oxidized bacteria (Guns: *Thiobacillus*) on micro nutrient availability of salined soil. Soils were collected from Alfaw region, south of Al Basrah Governorate, The soil was treated with four levels of sulfur (0, 500, 1000 and 2000 kgSha<sup>-1</sup>), four levels of salinity (3, 6, 12 and 24dsm<sup>-1</sup>) and two inoculated with *Thiobacillus* bacteria (inoculation and un inoculation), The containers wereincubated at 32c for 30 days. The results showed that application of agricultural sulfur had significant effect on reducing the availability of Zn<sup>+2</sup> and Cu<sup>+2</sup> and increasing Fe<sup>+2</sup> and Mn<sup>+2</sup> in soil after theperiod incubation. Inoculated with sulfur oxidizing bacteria were increasing the availability of Fe<sup>+2</sup>, Zn<sup>+2</sup> and Mn<sup>+2</sup> but had no effect on Cu<sup>+2</sup>. The best treatment (In.<sub>1</sub> \* S<sub>2000</sub> \* M<sub>24</sub>) was lead increasing the availability of Fe<sup>+2</sup> and Mn<sup>+2</sup>. So that (In.<sub>1</sub> \* S<sub>500</sub> \* M<sub>12</sub>), (In.<sub>1</sub> \* S<sub>500</sub> \* M<sub>24</sub>) treatment were lead to increasing the availability of Zn<sup>+2</sup> and Cu<sup>+2</sup> respectively.

Key word: Micronutrients -sulphur-salinity-Thiobacillus bacteria.

# Introduction

Salinity is one of the major problems of arid and semiarid regions and a limiting factor in crop growth. In saline and sodic soils, the solubility of micronutrients (e.g Fe, Cu, Mn, Mo and Zn) is particularly low and plants grown on such soils were suffered from deficiencies in these elements (Page *et al.*, 1990, AL-Taey and Saadoon, 2014).

The low availability of nutrients rather than low nutrient content is one of the major factors for the widespread occurrence of plant nutrient deficiency in calcareous soil among these factors, high soil pH and CaCO<sub>3</sub> contents are responsible for low availability of plants nutrients (Kaya *et al.*, 2009, AL-Taey *et al.*, 2010).

The accumulation of salts in the soil lead to increased osmotic pressure and food imbalance and when using this water requires the creation of ways and means for the purpose of successful use without the adverse impact of land productivity (AL-Taey and AL-Musawi, 2019).

Agricultural land of Iraq is calcareous soil that contains relatively high amounts of  $CaCO_3$  and extremely poor organic matter resulting in high pH.

Nutrient availability of soils can be increased by application of sulfur (Hydarnezhad *et al.*, 2012). The acidifiying function of Sulfur originated from its microbial oxidation to sulphuric acid over time (Vidyalakshmi*et al.*, 2009). The biochemical oxidation of sulfur produces  $H_2SO_4$  which decreases soil pH and solubilizes  $CaCO_3$  in; Calcareous soils to make soil conditions more favorable for plants growth including the availability of plants nutrients (Abdou, 2006).

Kaplan and Omran (1998) and Erdal *et al.*, (2004) reported that soil pH decreased by 0.11-0.37 unit with the application of S<sup>0</sup>, resulting in an increase in nutrient concentration, plant nutrient uptake, chlorophyll concentration, root nodules and dry matter production.

The research aimed to determine the effect of sulfur and sulfur oxidized bacteria on availability of  $Fe^{+2}$ ,  $Zn^{+2}$ ,  $Mn^{+2}$  and  $Cu^{+2}$  elements in the salined soil.

# **Material and Methods**

The experiments were carried out in the laboratory of college of Agriculture, Al Basrah University. Soil was collected from Albhar in, Alfaw region, south of Basrah Governorate, at a depth of (0-30)cm. Soil was air- dried,

<sup>\*</sup>Author for correspondence : E-mail : abdalzahrataha503@gmail.com

Clay	Silt	sand	PH	E.C.	Cations mmol/L				Anions mmol/L		
431.30	514.1	0 54.60	(1:1)	(dsm <sup>-1</sup> )	Ca++	Mg <sup>++</sup>	Na <sup>+</sup>	<b>K</b> <sup>+</sup> 1	HCO <sup>-</sup> <sub>3</sub>	Cl <sup>-1</sup>	<b>SO</b> <sub>4</sub> <sup>-</sup>
Te	Texture Silty clay		8.04	3.40	4.30	4.10	21.12	0.78	6.20	25	1.73
OM		CaCO <sub>3</sub>	D	<b>TPA Micron</b>	utrients		To	tal Micro	nutrient	5	
(gm kg	(gm kg <sup>-1</sup> ) (gm kg <sup>-1</sup> )			available (n	ng kg <sup>-1</sup> )			(mg l	<b>kg</b> ⁻¹)		
			Fe <sup>+2</sup>	Zn <sup>+2</sup>	Mn <sup>+2</sup>	Cu <sup>+2</sup>	Fe	Zn	Mn		Cu
7.53		290	3.968	1.722	14.241	1.722	12977	108.500	421.40	0 2	26.736

 Table 1: Some chemical and physical properties of the studied soil.

grinded and sieved by 2mm to estimate the physical and chemical properties of soil table 1 the soil was salined from 3ds m<sup>-1</sup> to reach the saline levels of 6, 12 and 24 dS m<sup>-1</sup> by washing soil until equilibrium between the added saline solution and saline of drained water. Experiment was included four levels of sulfur (0, 500, 1000, 2000) Kg S ha<sup>-1</sup>, two levels of inoculation (inoculation and uninoculation) and four levels of salinity (3, 6, 12 and 24 dS m<sup>-1</sup>) (M<sub>1</sub>, M<sub>2</sub>, M, and M<sub>4</sub> respectivity. The experiment was carried out by taking 250g of air- dried of salinzed soil. It was placed in a 500g plastic container. The agricultural sulfur levels and 1 g of sterile organic material (cows' residues) were added, mixed with soil and moisted to the limits of field capacity. Half of the treatments were treated with 2 ml of inoculation Thiobacillus bacteria and the other half was left without inoculationand all container were incubated for 30 days at 32 Cand keeping the moistureat field capacity. After each incubation periodtheavailable Fe, Zn, Mn and cu were determined. All the properties of soil and water were carried out by the routine analysis (Lindsay and Norvell, (1979), Page et al., (1982), APAP, (2005), APAP, (1999)).

## **Results and Discussion**

#### **Concentration of Fe**

The iron concentration influenced with the application of sulfur along with levels salinity and *Thiobacillus* bacteria. Iron concentration was remarkably higher in tested soil compared to initial status table 2, 3. Available iron concentration increased with increasing of sulfur levels, This may be attributed to oxidizing the sulfur with *thiobacillus* bacteria and formation sulfuric acid which increased iron solubility (Besharati and Hatami, 2007).

Inoculated with bacteria *Thiobacillus* alone or in combined with sulfur were increased the available  $Fe^{+2}$ **Table 2:** Some chemical of the studied water.

E.C.			s mg L-1		Anions mg L <sup>-1</sup>				
ds m <sup>-1</sup>	Ca <sup>++</sup>	Mg++	Na <sup>+</sup>	K⁺	HCO		Cl-1	<b>SO</b> <sub>4</sub> <sup>-</sup>	
147.00	584.3	1 422.80	1700.11	201.00	85.01	00	885.00	2017.19	
PH Total Micronutrients ( ppm )									
8.40		Fe		Zn	Mn		(	Cu	
		3.197		0.276		0.221		022	

 Table 3: Effect of Sulfur Levels, inoculated with sulfur oxidizing bacteria and Soil Salinity Levels in Iron availability in Soils (mg Fe<sup>+2</sup> kg<sup>-1</sup> Soil).

	(S)Sulfur levels							
Inoculation	Salinity	0	500	1000	2000	In.x M		
	levels (M)							
	M <sub>1</sub>	5.751	5.282	3.195	10.587	6.204		
In. <sub>0</sub>	M <sub>2</sub>	6.055	5.574	4.184	6.314	5.532		
	M <sub>3</sub>	6.181	6.144	5.019	4.893	5.559		
	M <sub>4</sub>	5.516	3.737	6.928	7.151	5.833		
	M <sub>1</sub>	6.041	15.138	6.139	11.274	9.648		
In. 1	M <sub>2</sub>	6.005	5.702	12.932	7.051	7.923		
	M <sub>3</sub>	5.933	5.492	6.482	13.120	7.757		
	M <sub>4</sub>	5.576	4.677	6.125	18.054	8.608		
R.L.S.D. <sub>0.05</sub>			0.367			0.098		

in soil (Vidyalakshmi *et al.*, 2009). Studies of Crowley *et al.*, (1991) have shown that the production of chelating compounds by microorganisms increases iron solubility in the rhizosphere and hence increase plant iron uptake.

Iron availability was much higher by treatment (Inoculation + 2000 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> + 24 dS m<sup>-1</sup>) 18.054 mg Fe<sup>+2</sup> kg<sup>-1</sup> soil compared with treatment (uninoculation + 1000 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> + 3dS m<sup>-1</sup>) which record 3.195mg Fe<sup>+2</sup> kg<sup>-1</sup> soil.

#### **Concentration of Zn**

Zinc availability was much higher with the application of sulfur at the rate of 500 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> alone or in combined with inoculated with *Thiobacillus* bacteria at 30 day and thereafter declined with increasing sulfur. This may attributed to the role of some minerals rich in calcium, phosphorus and sulfur in well ventilated soils that help to increase zinc fixation and decrease it availability. Kayser *et al.*, (2001) demonstrated that the application of element sulfur increased zinc solubility in the soil. While

Skwierawska *et al.*, (2012) reported that the application of sulfate and elemental sulfur decreased the zinc content of 0-40 and 40-80 cm.

The best treatment (Inoculation + 1000 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> + 6 dS m<sup>-1</sup>) was lead to increasing availability of zincby 171 % compared with control. This treatment was not different significantly with (Inoculation + 500 kg

S ha<sup>-1</sup> + 12 dS m<sup>-1</sup>) 17.052 mg Zn kg<sup>-1</sup> soil.

Increasing the salinity to 24 ds m<sup>-1</sup> has lead to decreasing the availability of zinc ions in soil. this may attributed to the increase in the ionic strength of the soil solution by increasing the saline level, which encourages the adsorption of zinc on the surface of colloids, Also the increase in exchangeable sodium in soil increase the soil ability to absorb zinc compared to other positive ions (Awad, 1987).

## **Concentration of Mn**

The results showed that the application of sulfur at 2000 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>level were increased  $Mn^{+2}$  available in soil by 21.43% compared with out sulfur application table 5. Skwieawska *et al.*, (2008a), concluded that the application of sulfur into soilswhich increased the content of available manganese. Inoculation with *Thiobacillus* was increased  $Mn^{+2}$  availability by 67.84% compared with uninoculation, increasing the  $Mn^{+2}$  availability could be interpreted be the results of inoculation and sulfur

 Table 4: Effect of Sulfur Levels , inoculated with sulfur oxidizing bacteria and Soil Salinity Levels in Zinc availability in Soils (mg Zn kg<sup>-1</sup> Soil).

	(S) Sulfur levels							
Inoculation	Salinity	0	500	1000	2000	In.x M		
	levels (M)							
	M <sub>1</sub>	6.092	5.820	6.600	5.500	6.003		
In. <sub>0</sub>	M <sub>2</sub>	12.612	11.922	14.192	14.024	13.188		
	M <sub>3</sub>	4.380	5.070	6.740	5.810	5.500		
	M <sub>4</sub>	5.210	5.472	5.380	6.056	5.530		
	M <sub>1</sub>	7.640	7.324	7.118	5.556	6.910		
In. <sub>1</sub>	M <sub>2</sub>	14.888	13.564	17.342	13.332	14.782		
	M <sub>3</sub>	4.236	17.052	3.230	4.748	7.317		
	M <sub>4</sub>	6.368	4.244	6.438	6.718	5.942		
R.L.S.D.		•	0.398			0.106		

 Table 5: Effect of Sulfur Levels , inoculated with sulfur oxidizing bacteria and Soil Salinity Levels in manganese availability in Soils (mg Mn kg<sup>-1</sup> Soil).

	(S) Sulfur levels							
Inoculation	Salinity	0	500	1000	2000	In.x M		
	levels (M)							
	M <sub>1</sub>	12.630	14.546	14.728	11.870	13.444		
In. <sub>0</sub>	M <sub>2</sub>	9.126	12.086	15.908	12.620	12.436		
	M <sub>3</sub>	18.118	14.456	11.644	23.946	17.041		
	M <sub>4</sub>	60.498	39.818	35.522	56.904	48.186		
	M <sub>1</sub>	14.972	14.206	15.216	11.802	14.049		
In. 1	M <sub>2</sub>	11.916	16.066	37.166	25.164	22.578		
	M <sub>3</sub>	54.116	37.120	45.454	63.436	50.032		
	M <sub>4</sub>	61.044	47.414	67.948	88.606	66.253		
R.L.S.D.			1.504			0.301		

application in calcareous soils enhanced the biological sulfur oxidation consistently and increased Mn<sup>+2</sup> availability in the field (Cifuentes and Lindemann, 1993).

Increasing of soil salinity from 3 to 24ds  $M^{-1}$  where increasing the availability  $Mn^{+2}$  in the soil by 316.23% measured by the control (13.747) mg kg<sup>-1</sup> soil.

The superior treatment of triple interaction between sulfur, saline and *Thiobacillus* bacteria was (In \* 2000 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> \* 24 dS m<sup>-1</sup>) 88.606 mg Mn<sup>+2</sup>kg<sup>-1</sup> soil which increased the availability of Mg<sup>+2</sup> by 601.550 % compared with control (12.630) mg Mn<sup>+2</sup>kg<sup>-1</sup> soil.

Variations in Mn behavior, against Fe and Zn, might be related to the soild phase dominance in the tested soils where  $CaCO_3$  is considered as the major active soild phase compared to the other reactive phase. The sorption tendency of  $CaCO_3$  toward Mn is higher than its affinity toward Fe and Zn, While soil organic matter and Fe hydroxides prefer the sorption and initiate complex formation with Fe and Zn rather than with Mn and Zn. Which can also be fixed or initiate complexes with clay minerals (Ford and Sparks, 2000).

# **Concentration of Cu**

The results showed that the increasing sulfur rate application were reduced the available copper in the soil. The maximum amount was 1.911 ma Cu<sup>+2</sup>kg<sup>-1</sup> soil at 500 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> soillevel table 6. This may attributed to the formation of copper sulfide complexes that were unavailable. (Awad, 1987). Also Some elements including zinc and copper precipitate as sulfides and sulfates to produce forms that are relatively immobile in the soil profile (Kabata -endias and Pendias, 1992). Also Mahmoud *et al.*, (2013) reported that the application of sulfur (100, 200 and 300 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) soil extractable copper concentration remained at stable level or little change

 Table 6: Effect of Sulfur Levels , inoculated with sulfur oxidizing bacteria and Soil Salinity Levels in copper availability in Soils (mg Cu kg<sup>-1</sup> Soil).

	(S) Sulfur levels							
Inoculation	Salinity	0	500	1000	2000	In.x M		
	levels (M)							
	M <sub>1</sub>	1.695	1.597	1.542	1.417	1.563		
In. 0	M <sub>2</sub>	1.769	1.711	2.069	2.030	1.895		
	M <sub>3</sub>	1.484	1.678	1.692	1.552	1.602		
	M <sub>4</sub>	1.673	2.155	2.172	1.802	1.951		
	M <sub>1</sub>	1.656	1.639	1.585	1.537	1.604		
In. 1	M <sub>2</sub>	1.984	1.947	1.793	1.656	1.845		
	M <sub>3</sub>	2.140	1.774	2.112	2.076	2.026		
	M <sub>4</sub>	2.430	2.788	1.913	2.218	2.337		
R.L.S.D.			0.178			0.036		

with all treatment of sulfur.

The available copper was much higher with level of salinity (24ds M<sup>-1</sup>) alone or in combined with Inoculated with *Thiobacillus* bacteriawhich record 2.144 and 1.953 mg Cu<sup>+2</sup> kg<sup>-1</sup> soil respectively. The copper availability was much higher at treatment (In.\* 500 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup>\* 24 dS m<sup>-1</sup>) 2.788 mg Cu<sup>+2</sup> kg<sup>-1</sup>soil compared with control 1.695mg Cu<sup>+2</sup> kg<sup>-1</sup> soil. This reason may be attributed to the prevalence of chloride ion in the saline solution compared to sulfates. Add to that the chloride which has an atomic size smaller than sulfates and had one negative charge. There for, copper and iron bonding with chlorine is more than that with sulfates and itcreatesdissolved complexes compared as bound with sulfates which creates un dissolved complexes.

#### Conclusion

#### We concluded that

1. The adding of agriculture sulfur lead to increasing  $Fe^{+2}$  and  $Mn^{+2}$  and reducing the  $Zn^{+2}$  and  $Cu^{+2}$  availability

The treatment  $(In._1 * S_{2000} * M_{24})$  was lead to increasing availability of Fe<sup>+2</sup> and Mn<sup>+2</sup>, so that treatments  $(In._1 * S_{500} * M_{12})$  and  $(In._1 * S_{500} * M_{24})$  increased availability of Zn<sup>+2</sup> and Cu<sup>+2</sup>respectivly.

#### References

- Abdou, A.S. (2006). Effect of applied elemental sulfur and sulfuroxidizing bacteria (*Parococcusversutus*) into calcareous sandy soils on the availability of native and applied phosphorus and some micronutrients. In:18<sup>th</sup> World Congress of Soil Science, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA. July9-15, 2006.
- Al-Taey, D.K.A. and Z.J.M. Al-Musawi (2019). Effect of Nanofertilizers, salicylic acid and organic matter in growth and yield of rocket (*Eruca sativa* Mill) under Salt stress. *International Journal of Botany Studies*, 4(3): 77-81.
- AL-Taey, D.K.A. and A.H. Saadoon (2014). Effect of treatment of Salicylic acid and water Salinity on the Growth and Nitrate Accumulation with nitrate reductase activity in the Leaves of Spinach, Spenaciaoleracea L. Journal of Babylon University, Pure and Applied Sciences, 3(22): 1188-1203.
- Al-Taey, D.K.A., S.S.M. AlAzawi and M.H. Husien (2010). Effect of Spraying Acetyl Salicylic Acid on the Plant Tolerance for Salt Stress & Survival Percentage after Transplanting of Orange (*Citrus sinensis*). Babylon Journal University -Pure and Applied science, 18(4): 1513-1520.
- APHA. (1999). "Stundard methods for the examination of water and wastewater", American Public Health Association, 20th Ed. Washtingon, DC.
- APHA. (2005). "Standard method for the examination of water

and wastewater, American water public Health Assoc. American water works Assoc. 21st. Ed. Washtingon, DC.

- Awad, K.M. (1987). Soil Fertility and fertilizer, Dar alktab press, university of mosul.
- Besharati, H.A.K. and S. Hatami (2007). Biosuperasaphophate fertilizer ina calcareous soil with low available phosphorus. *African J. of Biotechnology*, 6(11): 1325-1329.
- Cifuentes F.R. and W.C. Lindemann (1993). Organic matter stimulation of elemental sulfur oxidation in a calcareous soil. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.*, **57:** 727-731.
- Crowley, D.E., Y.C. Wang, C.P.P. Reid and P.J. Szaniszlo (1991). Mechanisms of iron acquisition from siderophores by microorganisms and plants. *Plant and Soil*, **130**: 197-198.
- Erdal I., and K. Kepenek and I. K1z1lgoz (2004). Effect of foliar iron applications at different growth stages on iron and some nutrient concentrations in strawberry cultivars. *Turk. J. Agric. Forest*, **28**: 421-427.
- Ford, R.G and D.L. Sparks (2000). The nature of Zn. Precipitates formed in the presence of pyrophyllite. *Env. Sci. Tech.*, 34: 2479-2483.
- Heydarnezhad, F., P. Shahinrokhsar, H.S. Vahed and H. Besharati (2012). Influence of Elemental Sulfur and Sulfur Oxidizing Bacteria on Some Nutrient Deficiency in Calcareous. *Int. J.of Agri. and Crop Sci.*, 4(12): 735-739.
- Kabata-Pendias, A. and H. Pendias (1992). Trace Elements in Soils and Plants. 2nd Edition. CRC Press Inc, Boca Raton, Florida.
- Kaplan M. and S. Orman (1998). Effect of elemental sulphur and sulphur containing west in a calcareous soil in Turkey. *J. Plant. Nutr.*, 21: 1655-1665.
- Kaya, M., K. Zeliha and I. Erdal (2009). Effect of elemental sulfur and sulfurcontaining waste on nutrient concentrations and growth of bean and cron plants grown on a calcareous soil *Africa J. Biot.*, 8(18): 4481-4489.
- Kayser, A., T.J. Schroder, A. Grunwald and R. Schulin (2001). Solubilization and plant uptake of zinc and cadmium from soils treated with elemental sulfur. *International Journal* of *Phytoremediation*, **3**: 381-400.
- Lindsay, W.L. and W.A. Norvell (1979). Development of a DATP soil test Zinc, lead, iron, manganese and copper. *Soil. Soc. Am. J.*, **42**: 421.
- Mahmoud, S.M., S.M. Khaled and S.S. Hanan (2013). Effect of elemental sulphur on solubility of soil nutrients and soil heavy metals and their uptake by maize plants. *Journal of American Science*, 9(12): 19-24.
- Page, A.L., R.H. Miller and D.R. Keeny (1982). Methods of soil analysis part 2, 2nd (Edn.). Agron. 9, pub. Madison Wisconsin, U.S.A.
- Page, A.L., A.C. Chang, D.C. Adriano and K.K. in: Tanj (Eds) (1990). Agricultural salinity assessment and management deficiencies and toxicities of trace elements, *Manuals and Reports on Eng. Practice*, **71:** New York, 1990: 138–160.

- Skwierawska, M., L. Zawartka and B. Zawadzki (2008a). The effect of different rates and forms of sulfur applied on changes of soil agrochemical properties. *Plant, Soil and Environment*, **54:** 171–177.
- Skwierawska, M., L. Zawartka, A. Skwierawski and A. Nogalska (2012). The effect of different sulfur doses and forms on

changes of soil heavy metals. *Plant, Soil and Environment-UZEI*, **58**: 135-140.

Vidyalakshmi, R., R. Paranthaman and R. Bhakyaraj (2009). Sulphur Oxidizing Bacteria and Pulse Nutrition - A Review. *World J. if Agr. Sci.*, **5(3):** 270-278.